

DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)
Chief, Criminal Division

LLOYD FARNHAM (CABN 202231)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-6973
Facsimile: (415) 436-7027
lloyd.farnham@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | CASE NO. 3:15-CR-0301 WHA |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| |) | EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE |
| ABDULKARIM HASSAN ABDI, |) | SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FROM JULY 14, 2015 TO |
| |) | AUGUST 11, 2015 |
| Defendant. |) | |

On July 14, 2015, defendant Abdulkarim Hassan Abdi made his first appearance before this Court. At the hearing, the Court directed the parties to appear on August 11, 2015, for a further status conference. The parties agree that the defendant's counsel requires additional time to review discovery provided by the government on June 12 and July 14, and that the additional time is necessary for effective preparation. Therefore, the parties agree and jointly request that the time between July 14, 2015 and August 11, 2015 should be excluded in order to ensure reasonable time necessary for the

effective preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: July 15, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

/s/ Lloyd Farnham
LLOYD FARNHAM
Assistant United States Attorney

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL
PUBLIC DEFENDER


/s/ Jodi Linker
JODI LINKER
Attorney for Defendant Abdulkarim Hassan Abdi

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from July 14, 2015, through and including August 11, 2015, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 17, 2015.


HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
United States District Court Judge